Attachment D

Tree Removal Permit Application

TREE REMOVAL PERMIT APPLICATION DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, PLANNING DIVISION

PLEASE TYPE O	R PRINT LEGIBLY				= \$m\$
ADDRESS OF P	PROPERTY159	O ORIOLE	AVE		W
1	ARCEL NUMBER		•		
PROPERTY OWNER	NameNameNameNameName_Name_	Cyn SHUMLUS OCHOLIE)	1 City <u>SV</u> (Home)(<u>4</u> (e-mail)	s) 132- Z	Zip <u>_94087</u> 366
APPLICANT/ CONTACT PERSON	Adaress Phone(Bus.)()	(Home)(Zip
Number of trees t	to be removed:	2	Proposed	removal date:	ASAP
Reason for remove	trees to be removed (AND I REDU val (attach additional) ANA UHED EASE VO NAT UHE APPLICA	pages if needed):	ICP APP	ICHARD UCATION	
BASIL SERVICE CONTRACTOR OF SHARE SH	SHUMWAY	**************************************	? Shumw		<u>6/30/06</u> Date'
OFFICE USE ON DENIED APPROVE APPROVE		WING CONDITIONS		Accepted i	26-0683 Dy 19-0-1 date 6/26/6 date /
1. <u>Plant re</u> r 2. 3.	placement tree(s)	Number:		Size:	
	2/Q mmunity Developmer te, Planning; Canary, Arbo		d White: Applica	フ <i>/パテ/</i> Date ⁴	/secu_

TF : REMOVAL PERMIT APPLICATIO DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, PLANNING DIVISION

PLEASE TYPE OR	PRINT LEGIBLY		TOP
ADDRESS OF PR	ROPERTY		
ASSESSOR'S PA	ARCEL NUMBER		
PROPERTY DWNER	NameAddressPhone(Bus.)()Fax ()	City (Home)() (e-mail)	Zip
APPLICANT/ CONTACT PERSON	NameAddressPhone(Bus.)() Fax()	City (Home)() (e-mail)	Zip
lumber of trees to	be removed:	Proposed removal	date:
BOY SMALL WILL	O WILL PAY IF FALLS	GARDEN (ORGANIC HELMED IN IN IR MY AD FUTURE 45 SON MY HOUSE ?	MAINTANCE + SOLAR ADDIT
roperty Owner's	Name (Print) Property	Owner's Signature	 Date
1. <u>Plant repl</u> 2	Y - - WITH THE FOLLOWING CONI	File n Accep Applid Inspe	o. Zo 54 - 0236 oted by Ans. cation date 3/1 And ction date
3. <u> </u>			

Attachment E

Tree Removal Permit Denial Letter



July 18, 2006

Kathryn Shumway 1590 Oriole Avenue Sunnyvale, CA 94087

Subject: Tree Removal Permit – 1590 Oriole Ave., Sunnyvale, CA 94087

File No.: 2006-0683

Dear Ms. Shumway:

The Department of Community Development has reviewed your application for a Tree Removal Permit for a **Redwood tree** and an **Eim tree** located in the front yard at the above address and has **denied** your request. In order to grant a tree removal permit, at least one of the following findings is necessary: (1) the tree is not healthy, (2) it represents a potential hazard, or (3) it unreasonably restricts the use of your property or your neighbor's use of their property. Based on an examination of the subject trees and the evidence available, none of these findings can be made.

The Sunnyvale Tree Preservation Ordinance was adopted to protect the diversity of trees in Sunnyvale. Trees are a valuable asset to the community in terms of aesthetics, protection of habitat, and enhancement of economic value of property and may be removed only under the circumstances noted above. The City Arborist indicates that the subject trees are not diseased, damaged, or posing a hazard and have 20-40 years of remaining life expectancy. No additional evidence was submitted with this request to establish further findings not present at the time of your March 2006 application. If you have additional evidence to present, such as a pending application for your proposed solar addition, you may resubmit your Tree Removal Permit request with this evidence.

You may appeal this decision to the Planning Commission by filing a written appeal within fifteen calendar days of the receipt of this notice. There is a \$113.00 filing fee for the appeal.

If you have questions on tree maintenance, you may consult with the City Arborist, Steve Sukke, at (408) 730-7505. If you have any questions regarding this permit, please contact me at (408) 730-7423. Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Mariya Hodge

Project Planner

Attachment F

Applicant's Appeal Letter

1590 OKIOLE AVE SUNNIVALE, CA AUGUST 2, 2006

City of Sunnyvale Planning Commission

Dear Commissioners,

I am appealing for a Tree Removal Permit. I feel two out of the three criteria for approval of the permit apply to my trees.

The trees are a Coast Redwood which my son planted about 28 years ago for a cub scout project and a Chinese Elm which was severly cropped when I moved in 33 years ago. It should be about forty six years old.

The first consideration is as a potential hazard. The redwood has the most destructive capability because the roots are bound by the too small area it is growing in next to the patio. It was planted to obscure the view of the telephone/utility pole and now is intertwinedwith all the wires....telephone, power, and cable going to three homes. Any big storm or earthquake has the potential to shear off the tree and cause extreme injury and damage to neighbors and my property and being. The redwood's roots are popping up all over the lawn and garden beds making it hazardous to walk and mow. The upper story of the redwood has snuffed out the limbs on one half of the elmcausing it to be very unbalanced looking and potentially a danger in falling. Pruning it back by cropping is no longer an option.

The second consideration concerns the restriction of my ability to enjoy the reasonable use or economic potential of the property. Since 1973 I have been amending the soil in my vegetable garden. The clay soil has turned into a rich loam. I can hand trowl into it more than a foot. I have something growing year around and have kept a compast pile going from the start. The production of my garden is greatly depleted by the encroaching redwood roots and extensive shade of the elm. The redwood will soon consume everything with a tangle of matted roots...wiping out the love and use of my garden.

Economically the redwood's size is beginning to cause major damage to my landscape by lifting up the timber border and filling the french drain with roots right next to my patio. Repairing damage to my brick patio would be very expensuive. Also rain/water now collects and evaporates slowly enough to be a mosquito (West Nile, malaria) danger. Both trees have clearly outgrown the size of my yard. The redwood is also an inappropriate species for Sunnyvale. It belongs in the Santa Cruz Mountians.

I do love my trees. I'll still have 12 trees in my front yard and 3 in the back if these two are gone. And if I cannot lower the canapy of trees in my back yard, gardening and the future addition of solar voltaic panels on the roof will be out of the question. The enjoyment and reasonable use of and improvement of my property will be destroyed.

For these reasons and others not stated, please approve a permit.

Sincerely,

Kathup Shumway
Kathryn Shumway